

### PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Federal Grant Number	PA-00J322-01	*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:	4/1/2015	*2b. Reporting Period End Date:	9/30/2015		
3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address including zip			4. Project Manager	Contact Information			
code)							
Name: Northwest India	n Fisheries Commissi		Name: Terry Wri				
Address 1: 6730 Martin Wa	y East		Phone: (360) 528	3-4336 Ext:			
Address 2:			Fax: ( ) -				
City: Olympia Sta	e: WA Zip Code: 98	8516-5540	Email: wright@r	wifc.org			
5a. Program (RFP)	5b. Project	* Title	*6. Collaborating Or	ganizations/Partners			
Tribal Lead Org		Lead Organization Award	None ⊠ <b>Subawardee</b> 21	Tribes/Tribal Consortiums			

<u>Submission Instructions:</u> EPA fills in the white boxes. Grantee fills in the yellow boxes	Project Officer: Lisa Chang U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email: chang.lisa@epa.gov	*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report	Tiffany Waters PS Recovery Proj. Coordinator
(boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes.	Technical Monitor:	*7b. Date Report Submitted	10/30/2015
After completing the form, save and e-mail it to the Project Officer and cc: the Technical Monitor.	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Email:		

# FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total EPA Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$15,700,581.4 6	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013	*9. Total EPA Amount Expended To- Date:	\$12,999,763.2 2	*10. Funds Drawn Down from EPA To- Date:	\$13,099,102.0 0
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Expended and Documented To- Date:	\$0.00	*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	No		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the EPA Project Officer or Technical Monitor to respond to?		None					

# **BUDGET UPDATE**

	15a	a. APPROVED BUDGE	ĒΤ	*15b. SPENT TO-DATE				
	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL		
Personnel	\$216,721.00	\$0.00	\$216,721.00	\$253,318.12	\$0.00	\$253,318.12		
Fringe Benefits	\$68,620.27	\$0.00	\$68,620.27	\$77,454.72	\$0.00	\$77,454.72		
Travel	\$8,797.25	\$0.00	\$8,797.25	\$11,726.53	\$0.00	\$11,726.53		
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		
Supplies	\$6,468.18	\$0.00	\$6,468.18	\$5,424.19	\$0.00	\$5,424.19		
Contracts	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$50,000.00	\$3,729.09	\$0.00	\$3,729.09		
Other	\$15,194,262.03	\$0.00	\$15,194,262.03	\$12,490,117.60	\$0.00	\$12,490,117.60		
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$15,544,868.69	\$0.00	\$15,544,868.69	\$12,841,770.25	\$0.00	\$12,841,770.25		
Indirect Charges	\$155,712.77	\$0.00	\$155,712.77	\$157,992.97	\$0.00	\$157,992.97		
TOTAL	\$15,700,581.46	\$0.00	\$15,700,581.46	\$12,999,763.22	\$0.00	\$12,999,763.22		
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	The Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission operates on a reimbursement basis with our member tribes.							

#### **ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED**

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Habitat				
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Species	Water Quality	Water Quantity	 	

# **DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED**

17a. Primary Threat						
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	Climate Change Dams/Levees/Tidegates Derelict Gear/Vessels Development Invasive Species - Terrestrial					
	Invasive Species - Marine					
	Large Scale Timber Harvest Shoreline Armoring Surface Water Loading/Runoff from the Built Env					

#### LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA

18a. Strategic Priorities Employed	Priority A	Priority B	Priority C	Priority D	Priority E
18b. Near-Term Actions Supported	D.3 NTA 3				
18c. Other Actions Supported					

#### LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND MEASURES

4	9. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected	 
	3. Weasure(s)	Habitat Nestored/Frotected	 

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator				
20b. Additional Indicator	s Marine Water Quality Index	Stream Flows Below Critical Levels	Wild Chinook Salmon	Pacific Herring
	Shoreline Armoring			

## **PROJECT LOCATION**

21a. Latitude	47.051698	21b. Longitude	-122.792501
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	171100 - Sound-wide		
21d. Action Area	Sound-wide		

**MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Grant Outputs)** 

*22a. Description (e.g., "shellfish beds reopened")	*22b. Unit (e.g., "acres")	*22c. Project Target ("number")	*22d. Project Measure To- Date ("number")
Developed and distributed a final RFP to 21 Tribes and Tribal Consortiums for each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13)	RFP Document	4	4
Developed and engaged in a Coordination Plan, disseminating and sharing a subrecipient project information document each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13) with tribes and LO group	Subrecipient Proposal Information	4	4
Approved 21 subrecipient proposals, communicated award notification, and executed contracts to all subrecipients for each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13)	Subrecipient Contracts in Place	84	83
Closed-out 21 subrecipient workplans with deliverables received and posted online to PSP/NWIFC website for each fiscal year (FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13)	Subrecipient Contracts Closed-out	84	37

#### **PROJECT MILESTONES**

Instructions: In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, reasons for slippages, and any additional information including reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis. When appropriate, include analysis and information of cost overruns or high unit costs, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 1. Program development and launch

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

# \*23c. Estimated Costs:

**Actual Costs to Date:** 

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
					NWIFC developed a
					communication/outreach plan for
					FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13 that
		1.14.11, 7.12.11,		Communication/outreach	consisted of: (1) a transmittal note
1.1	Communication/outreach plan	6.22.12, 6.17.13	COMPLETED	plan	for the RFP; (2) a mailing

					distribution list that ensured that all eligible entities were notified equitably, timely, and thoroughly; and (3) a target date for releasing the RFP.  Due to our previous interactions and current relationships with our member tribes, we had in place a Puget Sound Tribes distribution list that contained pertinent tribal contacts. We vetted this distribution list to ensure that the proper contacts are included and have continued to add to this distribution list as needed and requested.  NWIFC developed the final FY10
					RFP through close consultation with the EPA, utilizing and editing the FY08 RFP to finalize the FY10's fundamental components and timeline. Additions to the FY10 RFP included: (1) language that fully described the intent of these funds; (2) requirements for all projects that collect environmental data to have a QAPP in place prior to data collection; and (3) logic model terminology. While we didn't include the traditional logic model table format, we utilized the logic model terminology to request specific outputs and outcomes per task.
					The FY10 RFP was then used as a template to develop subsequent fiscal year RFPs.
1.2	RFP development and distribution	1.25.11, 7.15.11, 6.22.12, 8.5.13	COMPLETED	Final RFP distributed	Additions to the FY11 RFP included: (1) adding PSP Ecosystem Recovery Targets as eligible activities under this

new term and condition of NWIFC's contract that NWIFC and the EPA project officer collaboratively discussed and agreed upon.  Additions to the FY13 RFP of note included: (1) notification a inclusion of a new riparian buff on agricultural lands term and condition; and (2) a request for distinction between outputs the	are tracked to monitor the progress of an award versus deliverables that are work products that will be provided to			how the climate in the impler subred addition inform narrate deline. In con Project proposition of the but (2) addition note in languary different and put the but (2) addition narrate explar review major subred provise including new to NWIFF and the collaboragree.  Addition note in inclusion agreement and put the put the but (2) addition new to note in including the collaboragreement and the collaboragree	ne potential impacts of the change will be address planning and mentation of the cipient project; and (3) challed guidance regarding that ion needed in the budgive, including a task that attend budget appendix. Sultation with the EPA ct Officer, we finalized the sal review process and the change of the project of the project of the project of the project of the cipient workplan. This ion on technical review to the project of the cipient workplan. This ion on technical review we determined to the project of the
Additions to the FY12 RFP of note included: (1) clarifying language that delineated differences between subcontr and professional services with the budget narrative section; (2) adding a category within to narrative section that required explanation of how technical review was going to occur for major techincal products of the subrecipient workplan. This provision on technical review.	note included: (1) clarifying language that delineated differences between subcontrol and professional services with the budget narrative section; (2) adding a category within the narrative section that required explanation of how technical review was going to occur for major technical products of the subrecipient workplan. This provision on technical review included to reflect and satisfy new term and condition of NWIFC's contract that NWIFC and the EPA project officer collaboratively discussed and agreed upon.  Additions to the FY13 RFP of note included: (1) notification inclusion of a new riparian bu on agricultural lands term and condition; and (2) a request fit			how the climate in the impler subred addition inform narrate deline In con Project proposition.	e change will be address planning and mentation of the cipient project; and (3) onal guidance regarding attion needed in the budive, including a task ated budget appendix isultation with the EPA of Officer, we finalized the sal review process and
	new term and condition of NWIFC's contract that NWIFC and the EPA project officer collaboratively discussed and agreed upon.  Additions to the FY13 RFP of note included: (1) notification a inclusion of a new riparian buff on agricultural lands term and condition; and (2) a request for			Addition note in languard different and provise in languard different in languard di	ons to the FY12 RFP of included: (1) clarifying age that delineated ences between subcontratories included a category within the ive section that required nation of how technical was going to occur for techincal products of the cipient workplan. This ion on technical review was going to review was going to receive the cipient workplan.

					NWIFC before the close of the award.  We distributed the final RFP for FY10 on 1.25.11, for FY11 on 7.15.11, for FY12 on 6.22.12, and for FY13 on 8.5.13.  NWIFC developed a coordination plan that can be executed throughout the project period and includes: (1) ensuring that the PSP is aware of the aims and activities of the subrecipient projects by enlisting them as a key reviewer of these subawards; (2) engaging the EPA Project Officer to discuss the capacity awards that the subrecipient projects are concurrently receiving, in order to avoid duplicative funding efforts; (3) engaging in existing processes and groups to disseminate and share subrecipient project information, including the ECB, the Leadership Council, the PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and the PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus; (4) participating in LO meetings to ensure that other LOs are fully award of our subrecipient projects and vise versa; (5) an existing NWIFC website that is dedicated
1.3	Coordination plan	1.31.11	COMPLETED	Coordination plan	to information related to Puget Sound Partnership and Treaty
1.3	Coordination plan	1.31.11		Coordination plan	Tribes of Western Washington.

#### 23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 2. Award cycle

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

#### \*23c. Estimated Costs:

Actual Costs to Date: (If required by PO)

23d. Sub-23h. \*23g. Status \*23i. Remarks 23e. Sub-Task Description \*23f. Date Task No. Outputs/Deliverables All project files have been set up, with all digital files held by the Projects Coordinator and all final hard copies held by the Contracts Specialist. For FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13 NIWFC has received. Project files set up; documented, and shared input comments from all from the NWIFC, EPA, and PSP reviewers documented: review teams with all 21 7.6.11, 3.21.11, input shared with subrecipients. Reviewing subaward proposals 2.1 1.9.14, 6.27.14 COMPLETED applicants For FY10, FY11, and FY12 NWIFC has successfully communicated with all 21 subreceipients to address key input and all final workplans have been received, approved, and awarded. For FY13, NWIFC has successfully communicated with 20 subrecipients to address key input and final workplans for these subrecipients have been received. approved, and awarded. There is one subrecipient workplan that has not been approved yet as the Final workplans tribe has not provided a final addressing key input workplan addressing key reviewer received: 65% of funds comments. There was discussion awarded by 11/16/12; as to whether this project would 100% by 1/1/13; all be occurring, which has delayed contracting. However, this project Receive final proposals and make 8.2.11. 7.12.12. recipients informed of 2.2 subawards 4.15.14, ongoing CURRENT award requirements is now on track and contracting is

		set to occur by the end of the
		year.
		For FY10, 65% of funds were
		awarded by 5/19/2011 and 100%
		of funds were awarded by 8.2.11.
		For FY11, 65% of funds were
		awarded by 2.9.12 and 100% of
		funds were awarded by 7/12/12.
		For FY12, 65% of funds were
		awarded by 3.26.13 and 95% of
		funds were awarded by 9.25.13.
		For FY13, 62% of funds were
		awarded by 3/6/2014 and it is
		anticipated that 100% of funds will
		be awarded in November, 2015.
		All contracted subrecipients have
		been informed of award
		requirements, as included in their
		NWIFC contract (including EPA
		Administrative and Programmatic
		Conditions; Anti-lobbying
		Certification; MBE/WBE
		Certification; Federal Financial
		Report; EPA FEATS; OMB
		Circulars A-87, A-133 & A-102; 15
		CFR Part 24 & Part 28; 2 CFR
		Part 1326, Subpart C; and 40
		CFR Part 34).

23a. Work Plan Component/Task: 3. Program management

23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed: D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

## \*23c. Estimated Costs: Actual Costs to Date: (If required by PO)

23d. Sub-	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h.	*23i. Remarks
Task No.	200. 000 100. 2000. p.10.	2011 2 4110		Outputs/Deliverables	
					All subrecipients have been contacted via phone, email, or in
					person and the appropriate
					support has continued to be given
					in regards to the award process
					and applicable award
					requirements. The Projects
					Coordinator retains and files all
					email correspondence and
				All subrecipients	maintaines a phone log tracking
				understand applicable	all substantive phone
3.1	Support/meet with awardees	Ongoing	CURRENT	award requirements	conversations.
					For the reporting periods of 4.1.11
					- 9.30.11, 10.1.11 - 3.31.12,
					4.1.12 - 9.30.12, 10.1.12 - 3.31.13, 4.1.13 - 9.30.13, 10.1.13
					- 3.31.14, 4.1.14 - 9.30.14, and
					10.1.14 - 3.31.15 all FY10, FY11,
					FY12 and applicable FY13
					subreceipients submitted FEATS
					progress reports to the Projects
					Coordinator. The Projects
					Coordinator reviews FEATS for
					progress to ensure that all
					subrecipients are in compliance
					with applicable award
					requirements, including but not
					limited to: proper budget invoicing,
				Subawardee reporting	project timeline adherence, task and output progress (including
				requirements met; site	project requirements such as
				visits conducted to 33%	QAPP and permit approval),
				of funded projects; site	draw-down rate versus
				visit and progress	expenditures. For any FY13
				reports prepared and	projects that were not yet
				made available; all	contracted or had not yet begun
				recipients in	(neither tasks nor funding
				compliance with	begun/expended), the Projects
				applicable award	Coordinator communicated with
3.2	Conduct project monitoring	Ongoing	CURRENT	requirements	the subreceipient that a FEATS

was not reeeds and make within the tracking sheet which projects had not yet begun.  When necessary, the Projects Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATs report and ascertain additional project publications. The project publication of the project posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator edited applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder their FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and Pry13 subrecipient FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable Pry10, Pry11, Pry12, and Pry13 subrecipient FEATS reports.	I		was not no adad and moderal
when necessary, the Projects Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and an accertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project ocordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FFATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted att. Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Swimomish Indian Tribe, and			was not needed and marked
When necessary, the Projects Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.3 0.1 6, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at. Makan Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Svimonish Indian Tribe, and			
Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suyamish Tribe, Bydymish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and the progress of the projects and the projects and the projects are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites wisits were conducted at Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian			projects had not yet begun.
Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suyamish Tribe, Bydymish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and the progress of the projects and the projects and the projects are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites wisits were conducted at Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian			When personally the Projects
subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator edited publicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FFATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suyinomish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, Suyinomish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe			
provided in the FEAT's report and ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEAT's were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATs to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEAT's report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEAT's approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATs reports, the Projects Coordinator wall report and pre-populated FEAT's approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATs reports, the Projects Coordinator wall engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATs reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Swimomish Indian Tribe, suquamish Tribe, Swimomish Indian Tribe, and			
ascertain additional project progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports. Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at. Makah Nation, Namish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Sujuomish Ind			
progress. All approved subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits are conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/INWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year, For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			
the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, Swinom			
review and approval and were posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10,30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Sujuamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
website.  In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10,30,15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			
In anticipation of the next subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			
subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			WEDSILE.
subrecipient reporting due on 10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			In anticipation of the next
10.30.15, the Projects Coordinator edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports. Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Suquamish Indian Tribe, Suquamish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
edited applicable FY10, FY11, FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
FY12, and 13 FEATS to reflect budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
budget modifications and contract amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
amendments. The Projects Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe,			
Coordinator emailed each subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, and			
their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
their FEATS report in late September providing a reminder and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			subrecipient project coordinator
and pre-populated FEATS approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
approximately 30 days prior to their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			September providing a reminder
their report deadline. Upon receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			and pre-populated FEATS
receiving FEATS reports, the Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Projects Coordinator will engage in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
in review and approval of all applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
applicable FY10, FY11, FY12 and FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.  Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Seven sites visits are scheduled to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			FY13 subrecipient FEATS reports.
to occur each year. For the first year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			Cover sites visits and advantage
year, seven sites visits were conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
conducted at: Makah Nation, Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Samish Indian Nation, Nisqually Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Indian Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			•
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Swinomish Indian Tribe, and			
Hooksack Indian Tibe. For the			
	L		TO THE STATE OF THE

					second year, seven site visits
					were conducted at: Tulalip Tribes,
					Squaxin Island Tribe, Sauk-
					Suiattle Indian Tribe, Lower Elwha
					Klallam Tribe, Snoqualmie Indian
					Tribe, Stillaguamish Tribe of
					Indians, and Muckleshoot Indian
					Tribe. For the third year, five site
					visits have occurred at
					Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe,
					Makah Nation, Puyallup Tribe of Indians, Squaxin Island Tribe, and
					Swinomish Tribe. The Projects
					Coordinator plans to conduct an
					additional two site visits in the fall
					of 2015.
					Site visits are determined using a
					risk evaluation matrix and
					conducted to assess project
					progress and compliance with
					award requirements (including,
					but not limited to - adherence to
					workplan timeline; progress and completion of tasks and outputs;
					QAPP development, review,
					and/or approval status;
					desire/need for an EPA TSR;
					obstacles or problems
					encountered by subrecipient;
					progress report requirement
					adherence; review of funds spent
					and/or high award balances, if
					applicable; and subrecipient
					questions regarding award
					conditions, including proposal,
					review, and reporting
					requirements).
					All site visit reports are held at
					NWIFC and are available upon
					request.
				Exchange of project	NWIFC continued to: (1) engage
		4.1.11, 1.10.12,		lists between LOs;	the PSP as a key reviewer of
3.3	Execute coordination plan	1.17.13, 3.31.14	CURRENT	Update of the	these subawards; (2) meet with
	·				

				PSP/NWIFC website to include subaward project descriptions and progress reports, as they become available; Other coordination activities to be developed in consultation with EPA PO	the EPA Project Officer to discuss relevant capacity awards of the subrecipients to ensure funding duplication did not occur; (3) participate in ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings; (4) participate in scheduled LO meetings and disseminate a list of subrecipient projects for FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13 (list provided at the LO Listening Session meeting held on 3/31/14); and (5) update the PSP/NWIFC website to include meeting notes for ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings.  The Projects Coordinator has updated the FY10, FY11, FY12, and FY13 subrecipient project lists and PSP/NWIFC tribal project webpages to incorporate any significant scope and project changes.
3.4	Reporting and adaptive management	11.30.11, 4.20.12, 10.31.12, 4.30.13, 10.31.13, 4.23.14, 10.31.14, 4.30.15, 10.30.15	CURRENT	LO reporting requirements fulfilled; quarterly check-in meetings/calls with EPA PO	Administration of the program, including periodic progress review, has been coordinated by the Projects Coordinator, under the tutelage of the Salmon Recovery Projects Coordinator. NWIFC continues to be in close contact with NWIFC's EPA Project Officer, engaging in regular check-ins to clarify EPA proposal reviews and discuss challenges faced within the review process. The EPA Projects Officer continues to be extremely helpful, proactive, and communicative during the entirety of this award process.

		The LO reporting requirements were successfully met for the reporting periods of 4.1.11 - 9.30.11, 10.1.1 - 3.31.12, 4.1.12 - 9.30.12, 10.1.12 - 3.31.13, 4.1.13 - 9.30.13, 10.1.13 - 3.31.14, 4.1.14 - 9.30.14 and 10.1.14 - 3.31.15 and are in the process of being fulfilled for the 4.1.15 - 9.30.15 reporting period (as being submitted through this FEATS report).

23a. Work Plan Component/Task:							
23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:							
*23c. Estimated Costs: Actual Costs to Date: (If required by PO)							
23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks		

# 23a. Work Plan Component/Task:

23b. Action A	genda Action(s) Addressed:				
*23c. Estimat					
Actual Costs (If required by					
23d. Sub- Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution

#### HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

\*25

(1) HIGHLIGHT: While all 21 subrecipient tribes are advancing projects that will and are substantially contributing to the restoration and protection of Puget Sound, we have chosen to highlight the progress of the following subreceipient projects. Additional tribal project accomplishments are in the process of being reported to NWIFC for this reporting period. Once NWIFC has reviewed and approved the next subrecipient FEATS reports, due to NWIFC on 4.30.15, they will be posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website (http://blogs.nwifc.org/psp/).

The Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe has recently completed their fourth year conducting nutrient monitoring and analysis for Sequim and Dungeness Bays. Nutrient pollution ranks as one of the top causes of surface water quality impairment in the United States. While nutrients (primarily as various forms of nitrogen and phosphorous) are essential for plant growth, excess inputs can be contaminants in water. In many coastal waters, nutrient over-enrichment is a common thread that ties together a diverse suite of coastal problems such as harmful algal blooms, fish kills, outbreaks of shellfish poisonings, loss of seagrass and bottom shellfish habitat, and hypoxia/anoxia. Over the past few decades, the occurrence of harmful algal blooms (HABs) has increased in frequency and in distribution

in Puget Sound, with the first confirmed cases of Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP) in the United States occurring at the end of June, 2011 from recreationally harvested mussels at Sequim Bay State Park, less than two miles from the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribal Center and shellfish beds. Within their Year 4 technical report, the Tribe describes progress that was made in the understanding and ability to manage and plan for future DSP outbreaks that could affect the Tribe's ability to safely harvest and consume shellfish including the responses to the study questions of: what is the optimal time and depth to sample for Dinophysis in the water column?; is 2000-3000 cells/L of Dinophysis a sufficient warning level for future DSP events?; is D. acuminate the only toxigenic species of Dinophysis?; what are the relative ratios of toxin uptake between, oysters, mussels, littleneck clams and manila clams?; and what role do nutrients play in Dinophysis blooms and other HABs in Sequim Bay?

The Samish Indian Nation has recently completed their second year of partnering with the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to perform marine debris surveys and remove creosote from beaches in the San Juan Islands. In addition to transporting Puget Sound Corps Crews to these locations, Samish provides location and photo documentation of creosote piling removal sites for the purpose of allowing contractors to effectively bid on piling removal activities. In the first year, Samish traveled to more than half of the San Juan Islands and cleaned every single beach on many of the Islands (Cypress, Guemes, Huckleberry, Saddlebag and others). The Tribe has found it incredibly rewarding to work with both the dedicated Washington Conservation Corps crews and the Veterans Conservation Corps. Year two of the project was even more successful than year one as the team took on large scale demolition and removal projects from some of the more remote locations in the San Juans In one location on Cypress Island, they removed 37,000 lbs of creosote piles stacked just above the beach line.

The Lummi Nation has recently installed 28 engineered log james on the South Fork Nooksack River Larson's Bride Reach in Phase 2 of this project. Limiting factors in the South Fork Nooksack for salmon recovery include: elevated water temperature, low habitat diversity, and elevated fine sediment. This project addresses the number one known limiting factor for WRIA 1 for salmonids: habitat diversity. Engineered logjams, large woody debris habitat structures, and reinforced wood accumulations will increase the availability of complex instream habitat while providing deep pool habitat in a reach associated with cool groundwater inputs. This project has improved the habitat diversity in the Larson's reach by creating scour pools associated with constructed engineered logjams, promoting bed aggradation, improving connectivity of off channel habitat, providing cover and thermal refuge for endangered salmonid species, and stabilizing existing channel islands and gravel bars.

(2) REFLECTION: We continue to enjoy and highly value our good working relationship with the EPA and our project officer. Continued and consistent funding for these high priority tribal projects is of the utmost importance to Puget Sound restoration and protection and we look forward to continuing to work with the EPA in current and future fiscal years in supporting our tribes and Puget Sound health.